AGENDA

PREPARATION FOR AND PARTICIPATION IN GAMING COMMISSION HEARINGS

Training Objective: Develop the skills to prepare for and participate in a hearing before the Gaming Commission related to licensing and patron disputes.

DAY ONE:

8:00 a.m. Doors open. 9:00 a.m. Introduction to session.

Approach

- * Theory & Practice
- * By preparing for formal hearings you are prepared for informal hearings
- * Informality of our conversation in training
- * Which training ideas are you going to put to use?

Delegated Authority

- * Has authority been delegated to you to participate in a hearing?
- * What is the course and scope of delegated authority?
- * What is the Commission's immunity?
- * What is the immunity that applies to employees, agents and officials of the Commission?

Preliminary Issues

- * Has the licensee consented to the Commission's rules?
- * Commission's attorney-client privilege
- * Commission's work product
- * Licensee's attorney-client privilege
- * Licensee's work product
- * Ex parte communications (adjudicator neutrality)
- * Confidentiality

Strategic Thinking

- * Evidence Primer
- * Evidence (Witness testimony, documents, video, admissions)
- * Business records
- * Demonstrative Evidence
- * Evidence Standard
- * Hearsay Defined
- * Practical Suggestions regarding hearsay
- * Best evidence rule
- * Complete evidence "rule"

Getting evidence into a hearing

- * Authentication
- * Authentication via a witness
- * Self-authentication
- * Evidence introduced under a relaxed standard

Elements of a License Violation

- * What evidence do you have which supports each element?
- * Where is the evidence coming from?
- * What is the strength of the evidence? (corroboration, admission, credibility)
- * Was the licensee aware of the applicable rules?
- * Chronology tells stories.
- * What do the adjudicators want from you?
- * What is the best argument against your position?
- * In addition to the Licensee which violated the rules, what about the boss?

Elements of a Patron Dispute

- * What evidence do you have which supports each element?
- * Where is the evidence coming from?

- * What is the strength of the evidence? (corroboration, admission, credibility)
- * Was the patron aware of the applicable rules?
- * Chronology tells stories.
- * What do the adjudicators want from you?
- * What is the best argument against your position?

4:00 p.m. End of Day One

DAY TWO:

9:00 a.m.

Prior to the Hearing

- * Who is asking for the hearing?
- * Pre-hearing relief
- * Notice
- * Discovery
- * Motion practice
- * Stipulations

Hearings

- * Location
- * Perception & Reality of Fairness
- * Presiding Officer takes charge
- * Share the script (who goes first, who goes second)
- * Sequestering witnesses, transcribing the hearing, public or private, Weingarten
- * Opening Statement
- * Prosecutor case in chief
- * Licensee or patron case in chief
- * Prosecutor rebuttal
- * Licensee or patron rebuttal
- * Burden of Proof
- * Testimony
 - * Direct
 - * Cross
 - * Rebuttal

- * Closing Argument
 - * What evidence did you prove?
 - * What relief do you want?
- * Why does it make sense to give you that relief?
- * Presiding Officer Closes Hearing
- * The adjudicators deliberate
- * The decision
- * Is there a right to appeal?

<u>Risks</u>

- * Defamation
- * Getting involved in management issues
- * Abuse of "prosecutorial" discretion

Mock Hearing

4:00 p.m. End of Day Two